512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

SOUTHWEST SURGICAL HOSPITAL P.O. BOX 203500 AUSTIN, TX 78720-3500

Respondent Name

LIBERTY INSURANCE CORP

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-08-6288-01

DWC Claim #: Injured Employee: Date of Injury: Employer Name: Insurance Carrier #:

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

01

MFDR Date Received

JUNE 18, 2008

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Per DWC Stop Loss Guidelines all claims in excess of \$40,000 should be paid at 75% of billed charges. In the past claims appealed for stop loss have been denied because the carrier was subtracting charges for carve outs, such as implants, from the total charges so the "audited charges" are below \$40,000. January 12, 2007 SOAH, State Office of Administrative Hearings, determined that carriers may no longer reduce the billed charges by the charge for carve outs. And that all claims with billed charges over \$40,000 should be paid at 75%."

Amount in Dispute: \$67,337.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary Dated June 30, 2008: "These services have been reimbursed based upon review and appropriate application of the three-tiered service-related standard per diem amount under 28 TAC Section 134.401(c) ... After review of the providers Request for Reconsideration and the accompanying medical records there is no evidence that there is anything particularly "unusually costly or extensive" about this hospital admission ... Liberty Mutual does not believe that Southwest Surgical Hospital is due any further reimbursement from those charges above for services rendered to [Injured Worker]..."

Response Submitted by: Liberty Mutual

Respondent's Supplemental Position Summary Dated November 28, 2011: "The bill and documentation attached to the medical dispute have been re-reviewed and our position remains unchanged. Our rationale is as follow: ... Southwest Surgical Hospital has not provided any rationale that supports their perspective that this constitutes an unusual or complex or extensive hospitalization."

Response Submitted by: Liberty Mutual

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Disputed Dates	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 18, 2007 through June 22, 2007	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$67,337.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 and §133.307, 33 *Texas Register* 3954, applicable to requests filed on or after May 25, 2008, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401, 22 *Texas Register* 6264, effective August 1, 1997, sets out the fee guidelines for inpatient services rendered in an acute care hospital.

The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of Benefits

- 42 Z710 The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance
- Z772 This bill has been reviewed by a registered nurse
- 42 Z695 The charges for this hospitalization have been reduced based on the fee schedule allowance

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did the audited charges exceed \$40,000.00?
- 2. Did the admission in dispute involve unusually extensive services?
- 3. Did the admission in dispute involve unusually costly services?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401, titled Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, effective August 1, 1997, 22 Texas Register 6264. The Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion in Texas Mutual Insurance Company v. Vista Community Medical Center, LLP, 275 South Western Reporter Third 538, 550 (Texas Appeals – Austin 2008, petition denied) addressed a challenge to the interpretation of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401. The Court concluded that "to be eligible for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital must demonstrate that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000 and that an admission involved unusually costly and unusually extensive services." Both the requestor and respondent in this case were notified via form letter that the mandate for the decision cited above was issued on January 19, 2011. Each was given the opportunity to supplement their original MDR submission, position or response as applicable. The documentation filed by the requestor and respondent to date will be considered in determining whether the admission in dispute is eligible for reimbursement under the stop-loss method of payment. Consistent with the Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion, the division will address whether the total audited charges in this case exceed \$40,000; whether the admission and disputed services in this case are unusually extensive; and whether the admission and disputed services in this case are unusually costly. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(2)(C) states, in pertinent part, that "Independent reimbursement is allowed on a case-by-case basis if the particular case exceeds the stop-loss threshold as described in paragraph (6) of this subsection..." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6) puts forth the requirements to meet the three factors that will be discussed.

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6)(A)(i) states "...to be eligible for stop-loss payment the total audited charges for a hospital admission must exceed \$40,000, the minimum stop-loss threshold." Furthermore, (A) (v) of that same section states "...Audited charges are those charges which remain after a bill review by the insurance carrier has been performed..." The division concludes that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000.

- 2. The requestor in its original position statement asserts that "Per DWC Stop Loss Guidelines all claims in excess of \$40,000 should be paid at 75% of billed charges. In the past claims appealed for stop loss have been denied because the carrier was substracting charges for carve outs, such as implants, from the total charges so the "audited charges'" are below \$40,000." In its position statement, the requestor presupposes that it is entitled to the stop loss method of payment because the audited charges exceed \$40,000. As noted above, the Third Court of Appeals in its November 13, 2008 rendered judgment to the contrary. The Court concluded that "to be eligible for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital must demonstrate that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000 and that an admission involved...unusually extensive services." The requestor failed to discuss or demonstrate that the particulars of the admission in dispute constitute unusually extensive services; therefore, the division finds that the requestor did not meet 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6).
- 3. In regards to whether the services were unusually costly, the requestor presupposes that because the bill exceeds \$40,000, the stop loss method of payment should apply. The third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion concluded that in order to be eligible for reimbursement under the stop-loss exception, a hospital must *demonstrate* that an admission involved unusually costly services thereby affirming 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6) which states that "Stop-loss is an independent reimbursement methodology established to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the hospital for unusually costly services rendered during treatment to an injured worker." The requestor failed to discuss the particulars of the admission in dispute constitute unusually costly services; therefore, the division finds that the requestor failed to meet 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6).
- 4. For the reasons stated above the services in dispute are not eligible for the stop-loss method of reimbursement. Consequently, reimbursement shall be calculated pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(1) titled *Standard Per Diem Amount* and §134.401(c)(4) titled *Additional Reimbursements*. The division notes that additional reimbursements under §134.401(c)(4) apply only to bills that do not reach the stop-loss threshold described in subsection (c)(6) of this section.
 - Review of the submitted documentation finds that the services provided were surgical; therefore the standard per diem amount of \$1,118.00 per day applies. Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(3)(ii) states, in pertinent part, that "The applicable Workers' Compensation Standard Per Diem Amount (SPDA) is multiplied by the length of stay (LOS) for admission..." The length of stay was four days. The surgical per diem rate of \$1,118.00 multiplied by the length of stay of four days results in an allowable amount of \$4,472.00.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(4)(C) states "Pharmaceuticals administered during the admission and greater than \$250 charged per dose shall be reimbursed at cost to the hospital plus 10%. Dose is the amount of a drug or other substance to be administered at one time." A review of the submitted itemized statement finds that the requestor billed one unit of Thrombin 20 OOOU Spray Kit at \$846.03/unit, for a total charge of \$846.03. The requestor did not submit documentation to support what the cost to the hospital was for Thrombin 20 OOOU Spray Kit. For that reason, reimbursement for these items cannot be recommended.
 - The division notes that 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(4)(A), states "When medically necessary the following services indicated by revenue codes shall be reimbursed at cost to the hospital plus 10%: (i) Implantables (revenue codes 275, 276, and 278), and (ii) Orthotics and prosthetics (revenue code 274)." Review of the requestor's medical bills finds that the following items were billed under revenue code 0278 and are therefore eligible for separate payment under §134.401(c)(4)(A) as follows:

Rev Code	Itemized Statement Description	Cost Invoice Description	UNITS / Cost Per Unit	Total Cost	Cost + 10%
278	Imp Medt Capstne 10 x 26mm	26 x 10MM Capstone	4 at \$3,992.06 ea	\$15,968.24	\$17,565.06
278	Imp Medt Infuse Lg	Biologics 7510800 Infuse Bgrft LrgII Kit	1 at \$5,202.00 ea	\$5,202.00	\$5,722.20
278	Imp Medt Rod Peek 60mm	Impt Medt Rod Peek 60mm	2 at \$725.00 ea	\$1,450.00	\$1,595.00

278	Imp Medt Screw 6.5X40mm	Imp Medt legacy screw 6.5	1 at \$2,122.00 ea	\$2,122.00	\$2,334.20
278	Imp Medt Screw Legacy 6.5 x 45mm	Imp Medt Screw Legacy 6.5	2 at \$2,122.00 ea	\$4,244.00	\$4,668.40
278	Imp Medt Screw legacy 6.5 x 50mm 6.35 mm	Impt Medt Screw Legacy 6.5	2 at \$2,122.00 ea	\$4,244.00	\$4,668.40
278	Imp Medt Screw Peek 6.5 x 35	Imp Medt Screw Peek 6.5 X	2 at \$2,122.00 ea	\$4,244.00	\$4,668.40
278	Imp Medt Screw Set	Imp Medt Screw Set	6 at \$271.00 ea	\$1,626.00	\$1,788.60
			TOTAL ALLOWABLE \$43,010.26		

The division concludes that the total allowable for this admission is \$43,010.26 + 43,010.26. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$47,834.80. Based upon the documentation submitted, no additional reimbursement can be recommended.

Conclusion

The submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The requestor in this case demonstrated that the audited charges exceed \$40,000, but failed to discuss and demonstrate that the disputed inpatient hospital admission involved unusually extensive, and unusually costly services. Consequently, 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(1) titled *Standard Per Diem Amount*, and §134.401(c)(4) titled *Additional Reimbursements* are applied and result in no additional reimbursement.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		10/24/12	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	
		_ 10/24/12	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.